# ARIZONA WINTER SCHOOL PROBLEM SESSION ON MODEL THEORY

## ADELE PADGETT

# 1. Day 1: Basic model theory of $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb R$

## 1.1. Basic model theory.

- (1) Let  $\varphi(x)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula and n a natural number. Show that there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence  $\psi$  such that  $M \vDash \psi$  if and only if the definable set  $Y = \{a \in M : M \vDash \varphi(a)\}$  has at least n elements. What about expressing that Y has at most n elements? Exactly n elements? Could you write a sentence saying Y has infinitely many elements?
- (2) Let  $A \subset M^{m+n}$  be a definable set and fix  $x \in M^m$ . Show that  $A_x = \{y \in M^n : (x, y) \in A\}$  is definable.
- (3) Let  $F: M^{m+n} \to M^k$  be a definable function. Show that the set  $\{a \in M^m : F(a, \cdot) : M^n \to M^k \text{ is injective}\}$  is definable. Instead of injectivity, what are some other definable properties that we could require of  $F(a, \cdot)$ ? Can you think of properties that would not be definable?
- (4) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ , and let T be the theory of fields. Let  $\varphi(x) := \exists y(xy = 1)$ . Find a quantifier-free formula  $\psi(x)$  such that  $T \models \forall x(\varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi(x))$ .
- (5) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ . Let  $\varphi(x) := \exists y(x = y^2)$ .
  - (a) Find a quantifier-free  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula that is equivalent to  $\varphi(x)$  in Th( $\mathbb{C}$ ).
  - (b) Let  $\mathcal{L}_{or} = \mathcal{L} \cup \{<\}$ . Find a quantifier-free  $\mathcal{L}_{or}$ -formula that is equivalent to  $\varphi(x)$  in Th( $\mathbb{R}$ ).
  - (c) Is it possible to find a quantifier-free  $\mathcal{L}_{or}$ -formula equivalent to  $\varphi(x)$  in Th( $\mathbb{Q}$ )?
- (6) Let T be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory with quantifier elimination. Let  $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c\}$ , where c is a new constant symbol. Let  $T_c$  be any  $\mathcal{L}_c$ -theory that extends T. Show that  $T_c$  has quantifier elimination.

# 1.2. $ACF_0$ .

- (1) Find quantifier-free equivalents to the following in  $ACF_0$ :
  - (a)  $\exists x(ax^2 + bx + c = 0)$
  - (b)  $\exists y(y^3(s^2 + t(t-1)(t-2)) + t^2 = 0)$
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1\}$  be the language of rings, consider  $\mathbb{C}$  as an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, and let T be its complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory. Let

$$\varphi(a,b,c) := \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^3 x_i^3 + ax_i^2 + bx_i + c = 0 \land \bigwedge_{i < j} x_i \neq x_j \right).$$

Find a quantifier-free formula  $\psi(a, b, c)$  such that

 $T\vDash \forall a\forall b\forall c(\varphi(a,b,c)\longleftrightarrow \psi(a,b,c)).$ 

(3) Let  $K \models ACF_0$ . Show that every definable subset of K is either finite or cofinite.

Date: February 24, 2023.

#### ADELE PADGETT

- (4) Let  $\mathcal{L}_c = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, c\}$  extend the language of rings by a new constant symbol c. Let  $ACF_0(c)$  be the theory  $ACF_0$ , but viewed as an  $\mathcal{L}_c$ -theory (i.e., no axioms are added that say anything about c). Then  $ACF_0(c)$  is not complete (why?). Describe all the completions of  $ACF_0(c)$ . Hint: Use exercise 1.1(6).
- (5) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, \exp\}$  be the language of exponential rings. Show that the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -definable in  $\mathbb{C}_{\exp}$ . (This is exercise 2.1 of Scanlon's notes.)

# 1.3. RCF.

- (1) Consider the language  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1, f\}$ , where f is a function symbol in one variable. We can think of  $\mathbb{R}$  as an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure by interpreting f as a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Write down  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences asserting the following:
  - (a)  $\lim_{x\to 0} F(x) = 1$
  - (b) F is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - Write down  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas defining the following sets:
  - (c) The set of points where F is continuous.
  - (d) The set of points where F is differentiable.
  - (e) The set of points where F is k-times differentiable.

Can you define the smooth points of F?

- (2) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{ < +, \cdot, 0, 1 \}$  and consider  $\mathbb{R}$  as an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a definable set. Show that the closure of A (in the Euclidean topology) is a definable set.
- (3) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ . Find quantifier-free equivalents to the following:
  - (a)  $\varphi(x) := \exists y(y = x^2 + 1)$
  - (b)  $\varphi(x, y) := \exists z(x + z^2 = y)$
- (4) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ . The interval  $I = (-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be defined by the formula  $\varphi(x) := -\sqrt{2} < x < \sqrt{2}$ . In this case,  $-\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{2}$  are called parameters. Write down a different formula defining I using no parameters.
- (5) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, ...\}$ , let T be an o-minimal  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory, and let  $M \models T$ . Suppose  $\varphi(x)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula with only finitely many realizations in M. Show that for every  $m \in M$ realizing  $\varphi(x)$ , there is another  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\psi(x)$  such that m is the only realization of  $\psi(x)$ .

### 2. Days 2-3: Uniformity and Compactness

## 2.1. Uniformity.

(1) Let  $K \models \text{RCF}$  and  $A \subset K^{m+n}$  be definable. Show that there is a definable function  $f: K^m \to K^n$  such that for all  $\bar{x} \in K^m$ , if there is some  $\bar{y} \in K^n$  such that  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X$ , then  $(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})) \in X$ . In fact, we may pick f so that if  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in K^m$  and

$$\{\bar{y} \in K^n : (\bar{a}, \bar{y}) \in X\} = \{\bar{y} \in K^n : (\bar{b}, \bar{y}) \in X\}$$

then  $f(\bar{a}) = f(\bar{b})$ . (Hint: Use induction on n, and use o-minimality in the base case.) Such a function f is called a *definable choice function for* X. In fact, every o-minimal expansion of an ordered group has definable choice functions.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1, (f_i)_{i \in I}\}$  where  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  are function symbols. Suppose we interpret these new function symbols on  $\mathbb{R}$  in such a way that the  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory of  $\mathbb{R}$  is o-minimal.

- (a) Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a definable function and assume that  $g^{-1}(x)$  is a finite set for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that there is a natural number N such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g^{-1}(x)$  has at most N elements.
- (b) Let  $g: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a definable function and assume that  $g^{-1}(\bar{x})$  is a finite set for all  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that there is a natural number N such that for all  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g^{-1}(\bar{x})$  has at most N elements.

(Hint: Use cell decomposition and induction.)

- (3) Let  $\{f_a(x) : a \in A\}$  be a definable family of definable functions in  $\mathbb{R} \models \text{RCF}$ . Show that the set  $\{a \in A : \forall x(\dim(f_a^{-1}(x)) = 1)\}$  is definable. Would the same be true for a definable family of definable functions in ACF<sub>0</sub>? (As shown in Proposition 2.19 of Scanlon's notes, dimension is a definable condition in ACF<sub>0</sub>.)
- (4) Show that there is no definable family of subvarieties of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that contains all special (in the sense of the *j*-function) subvarieties of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . (Hint: Look at  $\mathbb{C}^2$  first, and think about degrees of modular polynomials.)
- (5) Let f(z) be a complex analytic function definable in an o-minimal expansion of the real field (i.e., view  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  as a definable function of its real and imaginary parts). Show that the isolated singularities of f cannot be essential. (Hint: look at the fibers  $f^{-1}(z)$ .)
- (6) Let f(z) be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  definable in an o-minimal expansion of the real field. Show that f is a rational function. Thus any meromorphic function on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  which is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  must already be definable in just the real field.

2.2. **Compactness.** To expand our discuss of compactness, we will use the notions of *types* and *saturation*.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language and T an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory. An *n*-type is a collection p of  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas in variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  such that there is some model  $\mathcal{M} \vDash T$  and some  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in M$  such that  $M \vDash \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  for all  $\varphi \in p$ . An *n*-type is complete if for all  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas  $\varphi$  in *n* variables, either  $\varphi \in p$  or  $\neg \varphi \in p$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} \vDash T$ . If  $A \subset M$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_A$  be the language  $\mathcal{L}$  with new constant symbols added to represent each element of A. We sometimes call an *n*-type p of  $\mathcal{L}_A$  formulas "a type with parameters from A" or "a type over A". We say that  $\mathcal{M}$ is saturated if for all  $A \subset M$  with |A| < |M|, all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and all complete *n*-types p with parameters from A, there is some  $\bar{a} \in M^n$  such that  $M \vDash \varphi(\bar{a})$  for all  $\varphi \in p$ .

 $\mathbb{C}$  is a saturated model of ACF<sub>0</sub>. So for every type over a countable set of parameters, there is some tuple of elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  that satisfies every formula in the type.

**Example 2.1.** This is an example of a typical proof using the compactness theorem, and a non-example of saturation. Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{<, +, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ , consider  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, and let T be its  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory. We will find a type with no parameters and show that no element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  satisfies every element of the type. Let p be the following set of formulas in a single variable x:

$$\left\{ x > a : \sqrt{2} > a \in \mathbb{Q} \right\} \cup \left\{ x < a : \sqrt{2} < a \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

These formulas seem to use parameters that are not in  $\mathcal{L}$ , but if, for example,  $a = b_1/b_2$  with  $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , the formula "x > a" is really shorthand for

$$\left(\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}^{b_2 \text{ times}}\right) \cdot x > \left(\underbrace{1+\cdots+1}^{b_1 \text{ times}}\right)$$

which is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula with no parameters. We will show p is a 1-type and extend it to a complete 1-type. Let c be a new constant symbol that we add to our language. For each finite subset  $p_0$  of p, build an  $\mathcal{L}_c$ -structure  $\mathbb{Q}_c$  by interpreting c to be a rational number close enough to  $\sqrt{2}$  so that  $\mathbb{Q}_c \models \varphi(c)$  for every  $\varphi \in p_0$ . Then the compactness theorem tells us that there exists an  $\mathcal{L}_c$ -structure  $\mathcal{M} \models T \cup \{\varphi(c) : \varphi \in p\}$ . Thus p is a 1-type. Let  $p' \supset p$  be the set of all unary  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas that are true of  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then p' is a complete 1-type. However, there is no element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  that satisfies every formula in p'. Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not saturated.

- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not saturated as a model of RCF.
- (2) Show that quasiminimality is a property of structures, not theories, as mentioned in Remark 2.3 of Scanlon's notes.
- (3) Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n$  be a definable set. For every  $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have the fiber over q $V_q \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ . Suppose  $\{Ti\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable collection of subvarieties of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  such that for each  $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V_q \subset T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$ . Show that there exists a uniform bound  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $V_q \subset T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_{k_0}$ . (Hint: Use compactness and the fact that  $\mathbb{C}$  is saturated.)
- (4) We say an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory "eliminates  $\exists^{\infty}$ " if for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$  there is a natural number  $n_{\varphi}$  such that for all  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  and  $\bar{a}$  in M, the set  $\{x \in M : \varphi(x, \bar{a})\}$  is infinite iff it has more than  $n_{\varphi}$  elements. Show that ACF<sub>0</sub> and RCF eliminate  $\exists^{\infty}$ . (Hint for ACF<sub>0</sub>: Suppose not. Then there is some formula  $\varphi(x, \bar{y})$  that witnesses this. Use compactness to show that there is  $K \models ACF_0$  and  $\bar{a}$  in K such that  $\{x : \varphi(x, \bar{a})\}$  is neither finite nor cofinite. For RCF, use o-minimality.)

## 3. Day 4: $DCF_0$ and More Uniformity

3.1.  $DCF_0$ .

- (1) Let  $(K, \delta)$  be a differential field. Show  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are linearly dependent over the field of constants if and only if their Wronskian vanishes. (We can see the iff statement as a form of quantifier elimination.)
- (2) Let R be a differential ring. If  $a \in R$  is algebraic over  $R^{\delta}$ , then  $a \in R^{\delta}$ .
- (3) Let L|K be a differential field extension. Suppose  $a \in L^{\delta}$  is algebraic over K. Show a is algebraic over  $K^{\delta}$ .
- (4) Find a quantifier-free equivalent in  $DCF_0$  to the following formula:

$$\exists x((\delta s = \delta x) \land (\delta t = tx + s)).$$

3.2. Uniform existential closedness. Suppose  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is a definable (uncountable) family of free and broad varieties (as defined toward the end of Section 2 of Scanlon's notes). Suppose each element of the family has points of the form  $(b, \exp(b))$ .

- (1) Show that there is some fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}$  of exp such that the set  $\{a \in \mathbb{R} : \exists b \in \mathcal{F} : (b, \exp(b)) \in X_a\}$  is uncountable.
- (2) Write a formula  $\varphi(y)$  in the language  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, +, \cdot, <, \exp\}$  saying " $X_y$  contains a point on the graph of  $\exp [\mathcal{F}]$ .
- (3) Show that there is an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  of positive length such that  $\varphi(a)$  holds for all  $a \in I$ .
- (4) Using definable choice, show that there is a piecewise continuous function  $g: I \to X$  that sends each parameter  $a \in I$  to a point of the form  $(\bar{b}, \exp(\bar{b}), a) \in X$ .

(1)  $\delta y_i = y_i \delta x_i$ 

(2) tr. deg<sub>C</sub>  $C(x, y) < n + \operatorname{rk}(\delta_i x_j)$ 

then  $(x, y) \in T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_m$ . (Hint: compactness).