

2. E elliptic curve / \mathbb{Q}

$$\rho_{E,p} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

$\{\rho_{E,p}\}$ compatible system

$E \leftrightarrow f$ newform of wt 2
+ level $P_0(11)$.

Thm (modularity of elliptic curves)

if bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isogeny class} \\ \text{of elliptic curves} \\ E/\mathbb{Q} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{normalised} \\ \text{newform } f \\ \text{of wt 2, level} \\ P_0(N), \text{ with} \\ \text{integer Fourier} \\ \text{coefficients} \end{array} \right\}$$

In fact $N=N_E = \text{conductor of } E$
 Correspondence: $\alpha_p(f) = \alpha_p(E)$
 $(\text{pt } N_E)$

Proved by passing through
 compatible systems of Galois reps.

$$f \leadsto E \leadsto \{R_E, p_f\}$$

[Eichler-Shimura 60s].

Idea: use the geometric interpretation
 of f to associate A_f , an abelian
 variety, to f .

Tate module of A_f gives a compatible
 system, and if f has integer
 coefficients, A_f is an elliptic
 curve.

TG 2-3

Keep assumption that f has wt 2,
forget the assumption on coefficients.

Let $K_f = \text{coefficient field of } f$.

Then $G_{K_f^\lambda}$ acts naturally on λ -Tate
module of A_f , so if λ is a prime
of K_f , get

$$\rho_{f,\lambda} : G_Q \rightarrow GL_2(K_f, \lambda).$$

its, unramified outside $N(N\lambda)$, and
irreducible.

$$+ \operatorname{tr} \rho_{f,\lambda}(Frob_\ell) = a_\ell(f) \ell + N(\lambda).$$

Compatible system.

A single $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ is enough to determine f ,
and so a single $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ is enough to determine
the whole compatible system.

If f has wt $k \neq 2$, there is no A_f .

It's still possible to construct the $P_{f,\lambda}$:

- if $k > 2$, Deligne used étale cohomology of Kuga-Sato varieties / étale cohomology of modular curves w/ non-const. coefficients.
- $k = 1$, Deligne - Serre used congruences to construct the $P_{f,\lambda}$.

In this course, $\underline{k \geq 2}$.

So in general, $f \rightsquigarrow \{P_{f,\lambda}\}$.

Want: to go from a compatible system to a modular form.

Question: does every compatible system of reps $P_\lambda : G_Q \rightarrow G_L(K_\lambda)$ come from a modular form?

[$K = \# \text{ fields}, \lambda = \text{finite place of } K, P_\lambda \text{ cus, mod, unramified outside } N(\lambda), \text{ & } \text{tr } P_\lambda \text{ (Frob)}, l + N(\lambda), \text{ independent of } \lambda \}.$

Answer no.

Problems: — given $P_{S, \lambda}$, if λ ~~isn't~~
 set $P_\lambda = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_\lambda^n \otimes P_{S, \lambda}$, $n \neq 0$,
 $\mathbb{Z}_\lambda = \lambda$ -adic cyclotomic character,
 P_λ does not come from a modular form.
 — 3 compatible systems of finite
 image reps which come from Maass
 forms & not modular forms.

Solutions — understand tmfs
 — understand how to rule out
 Maass form examples.

Fact/easy calculation:

- If $c \in L_Q$ be complex configuration,
then $\det \rho_{f, \lambda}(c) = -1$.

Say that $\rho_{f, \lambda}$ is odd.

Maass forms examples all have

$$\det \rho_\lambda(c) = +1 \quad [\rho_\lambda \text{ is even}]$$

Can avoid Maass forms by ~~saying~~ ^{imposing} that
 $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ are odd.

Conj If $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ is a compatible system
 of odd representations, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 f modular form s.t. $\rho_\lambda \cong \sum_{j=1}^n \otimes \rho_{f, \lambda}$

$\forall \lambda$.

Reasonable conj, but probably very hard to
 prove.

Reason this is hard is that we hasn't said anything about $P_{\lambda} |_{G_{\text{top}}}$, $\rho = N\lambda$.

"Motto: P_x is determined by $P_{\lambda} |_{G_{\text{top}}}$ ".

Idea: $P_{\lambda} |_{G_{\text{top}}}$ can be very complicated, and we should try to understand it better.

The way we understand $P_{\lambda} |_{G_{\text{top}}}$ is via p-adic Hodge theory.

If f has height R , then

$P_{f,\lambda} |_{G_{\text{top}}}$ is de Rham

with Hodge-Tate weights
 $0, k-1$.

TC 28

If we believe the Conj above, should also believe:

Conj' Let $\{P_x\}$ be an old enough system of odd mod. reprs, with the property that \exists integers a, b s.t. $b > 0$, and $\forall \lambda$ for each λ , if $p = N\lambda$, then $P_x|_{G_{0,p}}$ is de Rham with Hodge-tate weights $a, a+b$.

Then \exists a modular form of wt $b+1$ s.t. $P_x \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times a} \cong P_f, \lambda$.

[Conj \Rightarrow Conj' using $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times a}$ has Hodge-tate weight a].

Advantage of Conj': can actually prove it in a lot of cases.

Conjecture (Fontaine - Mazur)

If E/\mathbb{Q}_p is finite, and

$$\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(E)$$

is its, odd, irreducible, de Rham at
 P [$\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is de Rham] unramified at
 all but finitely many primes.

$$\text{Then } \exists a, f \text{ s.t. } \rho \cong \varepsilon_f^a \otimes \text{Fr}_f$$

for some $\lambda \nmid p$.

Is FM conj \Rightarrow Conj'
 [Each ρ_λ satisfies hypothesis of
 FM conj].

Ram Rks.

- If we drop de Rham condition, or the condition that P is unramified a.e., then conj. is false.
- This implies that P is part of a compatible system.
- f is determined uniquely by P .
- If P has distinct Hodge-Tate weights, then : - f should well have weight $k \geq 2$
- conjecturally, "odd" should follow from the other hypothesis.

[Proved in many cases by FG, using modularity lifting theorems]

[We will keep the assumption of oddness]

Strategy for proving Conj' :

- choose a "nice" \mathcal{L} .
- prove FM conjecture for $P_{\mathcal{L}}$

→ - prove that $\overline{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$, the reduction mod p of \mathcal{L} , is modular
[Serre's conjecture]

→ deduce that $P_{\mathcal{L}}$ itself is modular [modularity lifting theorem]

$\rho: G_Q \rightarrow GL_2(E)$ E/\mathbb{Q}_p finite.

(congruate: $\rho: G_Q \rightarrow GL_2(G_E)$).

Reduce modulo M_E

$$\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}) \quad F = G_E/\text{me.}$$

TG 2-12

$\frac{1}{F_p}$ -frak.

This is only well-defined up to semisimplification.

Assume: $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely modular.

Then $\bar{\rho}$ is well-defined, depends only on ρ .

Same \mathfrak{S} conj [mod p version of FM
uniqueness]

Conj If $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ is us,
odd, absolutely modular, then it is
modular, i.e. $\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ some f., λ .