

Transcendence in Positive Characteristic

t -Motives and Difference Galois Groups

W. Dale Brownawell
Matthew Papanikolas

Penn State University
Texas A&M University

Arizona Winter School 2008
March 17, 2008

Outline

- 1 t -Motives
- 2 Difference Galois groups
- 3 Algebraic independence

t -Motives

- Definitions
- Connections with Drinfeld modules and t -modules
- Rigid analytic triviality

Scalar quantities

Let p be a fixed prime; q a fixed power of p .

$$A := \mathbb{F}_q[\theta] \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{Z}$$

$$k := \mathbb{F}_q(\theta) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\bar{k} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$k_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q((1/\theta)) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_\infty := \widehat{k_\infty} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}$$

$$|f|_\infty = q^{\deg f} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad |\cdot|$$

Functions

- Rational functions:

$$\mathbb{F}_q(t), \quad \bar{k}(t), \quad \mathbb{C}_\infty(t).$$

- Analytic functions:

$$\mathbb{T} := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[[t]] \mid |a_i|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \right\}.$$

and

$$\mathbb{L} := \text{fraction field of } \mathbb{T}.$$

- Entire functions:

$$\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[[t]] \mid \begin{array}{l} \sqrt[i]{|a_i|_\infty} \rightarrow 0, \\ [k_\infty(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) : k_\infty] < \infty \end{array} \right\}.$$

The ring $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$

The ring $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$ is the non-commutative polynomial ring in t and σ with coefficients in \bar{k} , subject to

$$tc = ct, \quad t\sigma = \sigma t, \quad \sigma c = c^{1/q}\sigma, \quad \forall c \in \bar{k}.$$

Thus for any $f \in \bar{k}[t]$,

$$\sigma f = f^{(-1)}\sigma = \sigma(f)\sigma.$$

Anderson t -motives

Definition

An *Anderson t -motive* M is a left $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$ -module such that

- M is free and finitely generated over $\bar{k}[t]$;
- M is free and finitely generated over $\bar{k}[\sigma]$;
- $(t - \theta)^n M \subseteq \sigma M$ for $n \gg 0$.

Anderson t -motives form a category in which morphisms are simply morphisms of left $\bar{k}[t, \sigma]$ -modules.

Connections with Drinfeld modules

Theorem (Anderson 1986)

The category of Anderson t -motives contains the categories of Drinfeld modules and (abelian) t -modules over \bar{k} as full subcategories.

Connections with Drinfeld modules

Theorem (Anderson 1986)

The category of Anderson t -motives contains the categories of Drinfeld modules and (abelian) t -modules over \bar{k} as full subcategories.

Suppose M is an Anderson t -Motive that corresponds to a Drinfeld module (or t -module) $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \bar{k}[F]$. How do we recover ρ from M ?

Connections with Drinfeld modules

Theorem (Anderson 1986)

The category of Anderson t -motives contains the categories of Drinfeld modules and (abelian) t -modules over \bar{k} as full subcategories.

Suppose M is an Anderson t -Motive that corresponds to a Drinfeld module (or t -module) $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \bar{k}[F]$. How do we recover ρ from M ?

$$\rho(\bar{k}) \cong \frac{M}{(\sigma - 1)M}.$$

The Carlitz motive

Let $C = \bar{k}[t]$ and define a left $\bar{k}[\sigma]$ -module structure on C by setting

$$\sigma(f) = (t - \theta)f^{(-1)}, \quad \forall f \in C.$$

The Carlitz motive

Let $C = \bar{k}[t]$ and define a left $\bar{k}[\sigma]$ -module structure on C by setting

$$\sigma(f) = (t - \theta)f^{(-1)}, \quad \forall f \in C.$$

For $x \in \bar{k}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} tx &= \theta x + (t - \theta)x = \theta x + \sigma(x^q) \\ &= \theta x + x^q + (\sigma - 1)(x^q) \\ &= C(t)(x) + (\sigma - 1)(x^q). \end{aligned}$$

So as $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules,

$$\text{Carlitz module} \cong \frac{C}{(\sigma - 1)C}.$$

Representations of σ

Suppose M is an Anderson t -motive and that $m_1, \dots, m_r \in M$ form a $\bar{k}[t]$ -basis of M . Let

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can define $\Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ by

$$\sigma \mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma m_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma m_r \end{bmatrix} = \Phi \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

We say that Φ *represents multiplication by σ on M* .

t -Motives for rank 2 Drinfeld modules

Suppose that $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \bar{k}[F]$ is a rank 2 Drinfeld module with

$$\rho(t) = \theta + \kappa F + F^2.$$

t -Motives for rank 2 Drinfeld modules

Suppose that $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \overline{k}[F]$ is a rank 2 Drinfeld module with

$$\rho(t) = \theta + \kappa F + F^2.$$

Suppose that $M = \text{Mat}_{1 \times 2}(\overline{k}[t])$ is the Anderson t -motive with multiplication by σ represented by

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t - \theta & -\kappa^{1/q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

t -Motives for rank 2 Drinfeld modules

Suppose that $\rho : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow \bar{k}[F]$ is a rank 2 Drinfeld module with

$$\rho(t) = \theta + \kappa F + F^2.$$

Suppose that $M = \text{Mat}_{1 \times 2}(\bar{k}[t])$ is the Anderson t -motive with multiplication by σ represented by

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t - \theta & -\kappa^{1/q} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\rho \cong \frac{M}{(\sigma - 1)M}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}t[x, 0] &= [tx, 0] = [tx + \kappa x^q, -\kappa^{(-1)}x] + [-\kappa x^q, \kappa^{(-1)}x] \\&= [tx + \kappa x^q, -\kappa^{1/q}x] + (\sigma - 1)[\kappa x^q, 0] \\&= [\theta x + \kappa x^q + x^{q^2}, 0] + [(t - \theta)x - x^{q^2}, -\kappa^{1/q}x] \\&\quad + (\sigma - 1)[\kappa x^q, 0] \\&= [\theta x + \kappa x^q + x^{q^2}, 0] \\&\quad + (\sigma - 1)[\kappa x^q, 0] + (\sigma^2 - 1)[x^{q^2}, 0].\end{aligned}$$

Rigid analytic triviality

In the examples we have seen, we have the following chain of constructions:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Drinfeld module} \\ \text{or } t\text{-module } \rho \end{array} \right\} &\implies \left\{ t\text{-motive } M \right\} \\ &\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t]) \\ \text{representing } \sigma \end{array} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\implies} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E}), \\ \Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi \end{array} \right\} \\ &\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(\theta)^{-1} \text{ provides} \\ \text{periods of } \rho \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rigid analytic triviality

In the examples we have seen, we have the following chain of constructions:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Drinfeld module} \\ \text{or } t\text{-module } \rho \end{array} \right\} &\implies \left\{ t\text{-motive } M \right\} \\ &\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t]) \\ \text{representing } \sigma \end{array} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(\star)}{\implies} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E}), \\ \Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi \end{array} \right\} \\ &\implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi(\theta)^{-1} \text{ provides} \\ \text{periods of } \rho \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Everything goes through fine, as long as we can do (\star) .

Rigid analytic triviality

Definition

An Anderson t -motive M is *rigid analytically trivial* if for $\Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\overline{k}[t])$ representing multiplication by σ on M , there exists a (fundamental matrix)

$$\Psi \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E}) \cap \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{T})$$

so that

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi.$$

Rigid analytic triviality

Definition

An Anderson t -motive M is *rigid analytically trivial* if for $\Phi \in \text{Mat}_r(\overline{k}[t])$ representing multiplication by σ on M , there exists a (fundamental matrix)

$$\Psi \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E}) \cap \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{T})$$

so that

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi.$$

A deep theorem of Anderson proves the following equivalence,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Drinfeld module or } t\text{-} \\ \text{module is uniformizable} \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t\text{-motive } M \text{ is rigid} \\ \text{analytically trivial} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Difference Galois groups

- Definitions and constructions
- Properties
- Connections with t -motives/Drinfeld modules

Preliminaries

We will work in some generality. We fix fields $K \subseteq L$ with an automorphism $\sigma : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L$ such that

- $\sigma(K) \subseteq K$;
- L/K is separable;
- $L^\sigma = K^\sigma =: E$.

The example to keep in mind of course is $(E, K, L) = (\mathbb{F}_q(t), \bar{k}(t), \mathbb{L})$.

Σ and Λ

We suppose that we have matrices $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(K)$ and $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ so that

$$\sigma(\Psi) = \Phi\Psi.$$

Σ and Λ

We suppose that we have matrices $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(K)$ and $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ so that

$$\sigma(\Psi) = \Phi\Psi.$$

Let $X = (X_{ij})$ denote an $r \times r$ matrix of variables. Define a K -algebra homomorphism,

$$\nu = (X_{ij} \mapsto \Psi_{ij}) : K[X, 1/\det X] \rightarrow L.$$

Σ and Λ

We suppose that we have matrices $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(K)$ and $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ so that

$$\sigma(\Psi) = \Phi\Psi.$$

Let $X = (X_{ij})$ denote an $r \times r$ matrix of variables. Define a K -algebra homomorphism,

$$\nu = (X_{ij} \mapsto \Psi_{ij}) : K[X, 1/\det X] \rightarrow L.$$

Let $\Sigma = \mathrm{im} \nu$ and take Λ for its fraction field in L :

$$\Sigma = K[\Psi, 1/\det \Psi], \quad \Lambda = K(\Psi).$$

Additional hypothesis: K is algebraically closed in Λ .

Σ and Λ

We suppose that we have matrices $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(K)$ and $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L)$ so that

$$\sigma(\Psi) = \Phi\Psi.$$

Let $X = (X_{ij})$ denote an $r \times r$ matrix of variables. Define a K -algebra homomorphism,

$$\nu = (X_{ij} \mapsto \Psi_{ij}) : K[X, 1/\det X] \rightarrow L.$$

Let $\Sigma = \mathrm{im} \nu$ and take Λ for its fraction field in L :

$$\Sigma = K[\Psi, 1/\det \Psi], \quad \Lambda = K(\Psi).$$

Additional hypothesis: K is algebraically closed in Λ . Generally holds in the case $(\mathbb{F}_q(t), \bar{k}(t), \mathbb{L})$.

The Galois group Γ

Let $Z \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{r/K}$ be the smallest K -subscheme such that $\psi \in Z(L)$.
Thus,

$$Z \cong \mathrm{Spec} \Sigma \quad (\text{as } K\text{-schemes}).$$

The Galois group Γ

Let $Z \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{r/K}$ be the smallest K -subscheme such that $\psi \in Z(L)$.
Thus,

$$Z \cong \mathrm{Spec} \Sigma \quad (\text{as } K\text{-schemes}).$$

Now set $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L \otimes_K L)$ so that

$$(\psi_1)_{ij} = \psi_{ij} \otimes 1, \quad (\psi_2)_{ij} = 1 \otimes \psi_{ij},$$

and set $\tilde{\psi} = \psi_1^{-1} \psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L \otimes_K L)$.

The Galois group Γ

Let $Z \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{r/K}$ be the smallest K -subscheme such that $\Psi \in Z(L)$.
Thus,

$$Z \cong \mathrm{Spec} \Sigma \quad (\text{as } K\text{-schemes}).$$

Now set $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L \otimes_K L)$ so that

$$(\Psi_1)_{ij} = \Psi_{ij} \otimes 1, \quad (\Psi_2)_{ij} = 1 \otimes \Psi_{ij},$$

and set $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi_1^{-1} \Psi_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_r(L \otimes_K L)$.

Define an E -algebra map,

$$\mu = (X_{ij} \mapsto \tilde{\Psi}_{ij}) : E[X, 1/\det X] \rightarrow L \otimes_K L,$$

which defines a closed E -subscheme Γ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r/E}$.

Working hypotheses for Γ and Z

Analogies with Galois groups of differential equations lead to the following working hypotheses:

- $Z(\bar{L})$ should be a left coset for Γ .

Working hypotheses for Γ and Z

Analogies with Galois groups of differential equations lead to the following working hypotheses:

- $Z(\bar{L})$ should be a left coset for Γ .
- Since $\psi \in Z(\bar{L})$, we should have

$$\Gamma(\bar{L}) = \psi^{-1}Z(\bar{L}).$$

Working hypotheses for Γ and Z

Analogies with Galois groups of differential equations lead to the following working hypotheses:

- $Z(\bar{L})$ should be a left coset for Γ .
- Since $\psi \in Z(\bar{L})$, we should have

$$\Gamma(\bar{L}) = \psi^{-1}Z(\bar{L}).$$

- This isomorphism should induce an isomorphism of K -schemes,

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta) : Z \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times Z.$$

Working hypotheses for Γ and Z

Analogies with Galois groups of differential equations lead to the following working hypotheses:

- $Z(\bar{L})$ should be a left coset for Γ .
- Since $\psi \in Z(\bar{L})$, we should have

$$\Gamma(\bar{L}) = \psi^{-1}Z(\bar{L}).$$

- This isomorphism should induce an isomorphism of K -schemes,

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha, \alpha\beta) : Z \times \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times Z.$$

- Everything should be done in such a way as to be defined over the smallest field possible (say E , K , or L).

The difference Galois group Γ

Theorem (P. 2008)

- Γ is a closed E -subgroup scheme of GL_r/E .
- Z is stable under right-multiplication by Γ_K and is a Γ_K -torsor.
- The K -scheme Z is absolutely irreducible and is smooth over \bar{K} .
- The E -scheme Γ is absolutely irreducible and is smooth over \bar{E} .
- The dimension of Γ over E is equal to the transcendence degree of Λ over K .
- $\Gamma(E) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_\sigma(\Lambda/K)$.
- If every element of \bar{E} is fixed by some power of σ , then the elements of Λ fixed by $\Gamma(\bar{E})$ are precisely K .

Connections with t -motives

Given a rigid analytically trivial Anderson t -motive M , we form

$$M := \bar{k}(t) \otimes_{\bar{k}[t]} M.$$

Then M carries the structure of a left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module with

- M is a f.d. $\bar{k}(t)$ -vector space;
- multiplication by σ on M is represented by a matrix $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ that has a fundamental matrix $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$.

Connections with t -motives

Given a rigid analytically trivial Anderson t -motive M , we form

$$M := \bar{k}(t) \otimes_{\bar{k}[t]} M.$$

Then M carries the structure of a left $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module with

- M is a f.d. $\bar{k}(t)$ -vector space;
- multiplication by σ on M is represented by a matrix $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ that has a fundamental matrix $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$.

Proposition (P. 2008)

The objects just described form a neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with fiber functor

$$\omega(M) = (\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\bar{k}(t)} M)^\sigma.$$

Category of t -motives

- “neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ” \iff category of representations of an affine group scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.
- We define the *category of t -motives* to be the Tannakian subcategory generated by all Anderson t -motives.

Category of t -motives

- “neutral Tannakian category over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ ” \iff category of representations of an affine group scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.
- We define the *category of t -motives* to be the Tannakian subcategory generated by all Anderson t -motives.

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\Psi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{L})$ is a rigid analytic trivialization for Φ . Then the Galois group Γ_Ψ associated to the difference equations

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi\Psi$$

is naturally isomorphic to the group Γ_M associated to M via Tannakian duality.

Algebraic independence

- Main theorem
- Sketch of the proof

Galois groups and transcendence

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive, and let Γ_M be its associated group via Tannakian duality. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t)) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$, $c \in \bar{k}^\times$.

Galois groups and transcendence

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive, and let Γ_M be its associated group via Tannakian duality. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t)) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$, $c \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let Ψ be a rigid analytic trivialization of Φ in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E})$.

Galois groups and transcendence

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive, and let Γ_M be its associated group via Tannakian duality. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t)) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$, $c \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let Ψ be a rigid analytic trivialization of Φ in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E})$. Finally let

$$L = \bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)) \subseteq \bar{k}_\infty.$$

Galois groups and transcendence

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive, and let Γ_M be its associated group via Tannakian duality. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t)) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$, $c \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let Ψ be a rigid analytic trivialization of Φ in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E})$. Finally let

$$L = \bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)) \subseteq \bar{k}_\infty.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{tr. \ deg}_{\bar{k}} L = \dim \Gamma_M.$$

Remarks: If M arises from an actual Anderson t -motive, then the hypotheses of the theorem are automatically satisfied.

Galois groups and transcendence

Theorem (P. 2008)

Let M be a t -motive, and let Γ_M be its associated group via Tannakian duality. Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t)) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\bar{k}[t])$ represents multiplication by σ on M and that $\det \Phi = c(t - \theta)^s$, $c \in \bar{k}^\times$. Let Ψ be a rigid analytic trivialization of Φ in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathrm{Mat}_r(\mathbb{E})$. Finally let

$$L = \bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)) \subseteq \bar{k}_\infty.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{tr. \ deg}_{\bar{k}} L = \dim \Gamma_M.$$

Remarks: If M arises from an actual Anderson t -motive, then the hypotheses of the theorem are automatically satisfied.

In practice to calculate $\dim \Gamma_M$, we calculate Γ_Ψ .

Sketch of the proof

Needless to say the proof relies heavily on the ABP-criterion.

- Fix $d \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, the entries of the Kronecker product,

$$\psi^{\otimes n},$$

are all degree n monomials in the entries of ψ .

Sketch of the proof

Needless to say the proof relies heavily on the ABP-criterion.

- Fix $d \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, the entries of the Kronecker product,

$$\psi^{\otimes n},$$

are all degree n monomials in the entries of ψ .

- Let $\bar{\psi}$ be the column vector whose entries are the concatenation of 1 and each of the columns of $\psi^{\otimes n}$ for $n \leq d$. Let

$$\bar{\phi} = [1] \oplus \phi^{\oplus r} \oplus (\phi^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus r^2} \oplus \dots \oplus (\phi^{\otimes d})^{\oplus r^d}.$$

Sketch of the proof

Needless to say the proof relies heavily on the ABP-criterion.

- Fix $d \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, the entries of the Kronecker product,

$$\psi^{\otimes n},$$

are all degree n monomials in the entries of ψ .

- Let $\bar{\psi}$ be the column vector whose entries are the concatenation of 1 and each of the columns of $\psi^{\otimes n}$ for $n \leq d$. Let

$$\bar{\Phi} = [1] \oplus \Phi^{\oplus r} \oplus (\Phi^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus r^2} \oplus \dots \oplus (\Phi^{\otimes d})^{\oplus r^d}.$$

- Then

$$\bar{\psi}^{(-1)} = \bar{\Phi} \bar{\psi}.$$

- Any polynomial relations over \bar{k} among the entries of $\Psi(\theta)$ will eventually appear as a \bar{k} -linear relation among the entries of $\bar{\psi}(\theta)$, once d is large enough.

- Any polynomial relations over \bar{k} among the entries of $\Psi(\theta)$ will eventually appear as a \bar{k} -linear relation among the entries of $\bar{\psi}(\theta)$, once d is large enough.
- Use the ABP-criterion to show that

$$\dim_{\bar{k}} Q_d = \dim_{\bar{k}(t)} S_d,$$

where

- ▶ Q_d is the \bar{k} -span of the entries of $\bar{\psi}(\theta)$;
- ▶ S_d is the $\bar{k}(t)$ -span of the entries of ψ .

- Any polynomial relations over \bar{k} among the entries of $\Psi(\theta)$ will eventually appear as a \bar{k} -linear relation among the entries of $\bar{\psi}(\theta)$, once d is large enough.
- Use the ABP-criterion to show that

$$\dim_{\bar{k}} Q_d = \dim_{\bar{k}(t)} S_d,$$

where

- ▶ Q_d is the \bar{k} -span of the entries of $\bar{\psi}(\theta)$;
 - ▶ S_d is the $\bar{k}(t)$ -span of the entries of ψ .
- Once we show this for each d , the equality of transcendence degrees follows (by a comparison of Hilbert series).

Wade's theorem redux

π_q is transcendental

- Work in the setting of the Carlitz motive C with $r = 1$; $\Phi = t - \theta$;
 $\Psi = \Omega(t)$:

$$\Omega^{(-1)}(t) = (t - \theta)\Omega(t).$$

Wade's theorem redux

π_q is transcendental

- Work in the setting of the Carlitz motive C with $r = 1$; $\Phi = t - \theta$;
 $\Psi = \Omega(t)$:

$$\Omega^{(-1)}(t) = (t - \theta)\Omega(t).$$

- $\text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}(t)} \bar{k}(t)(\Omega) = 1$

Wade's theorem redux

π_q is transcendental

- Work in the setting of the Carlitz motive C with $r = 1$; $\Phi = t - \theta$;
 $\Psi = \Omega(t)$:

$$\Omega^{(-1)}(t) = (t - \theta)\Omega(t).$$

- $\text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}(t)} \bar{k}(t)(\Omega) = 1$
- The Galois group Γ in this case is $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{GL}_{1/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$:

$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_q(t)) = \mathbb{F}_q(t)^\times \cong \text{Aut}_\sigma(\bar{k}(t)(\Omega)/\bar{k}(t))$$

via

$$\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)^\times, h(t, \Omega) \in \bar{k}(t)(\Omega) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma * h(t, \Omega) = h(t, \Omega\gamma).$$

Wade's theorem redux

π_q is transcendental

- Work in the setting of the Carlitz motive C with $r = 1$; $\Phi = t - \theta$;
 $\Psi = \Omega(t)$:

$$\Omega^{(-1)}(t) = (t - \theta)\Omega(t).$$

- $\text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}(t)} \bar{k}(t)(\Omega) = 1$
- The Galois group Γ in this case is $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{GL}_{1/\mathbb{F}_q(t)}$:

$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_q(t)) = \mathbb{F}_q(t)^\times \cong \text{Aut}_\sigma(\bar{k}(t)(\Omega)/\bar{k}(t))$$

via

$$\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)^\times, h(t, \Omega) \in \bar{k}(t)(\Omega) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma * h(t, \Omega) = h(t, \Omega\gamma).$$

- Previous theorem \Rightarrow

$$\text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\Psi(\theta)) = \text{tr. deg}_{\bar{k}} \bar{k}(\pi_q) = \dim \Gamma = 1.$$