



### Case 3 $k = \mathbb{Q}$

**Fact** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be an arithmetic function field then:

1.  $\text{td}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = d \iff$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall t_1, \dots, t_{d+2} \in K q_{(t_1, \dots, t_{d+2})} \text{ is universal over } K(\sqrt{-1}) \\ \text{and} \\ \exists t_1, \dots, t_{d+2} \in K \text{ such that } q_{(t_1, \dots, t_{d+2})} \\ \text{does not represent 0 over } K(\sqrt{-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

i.e. by a conjunction of a  $\forall\exists$  and  $\exists\forall\exists$  sentence

2. Let  $(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  be a transcendence base (TB) then  $\exists$  “many”

$a_1, \dots, a_d, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $q_{(t_1 - a_1, \dots, t_d - a_d)}$  does not represent 0 over  $K(\sqrt{-1})$

## **Proof Idea** Cohomology of finitely generated fields and Milnor conjecture

**Theorem A**  $K, L$  arithmetic function fields,  $K \equiv L$ , then

1.  $\exists$  separable field embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow L$  and  $K \hookrightarrow K$
2. If  $K$  is of general type  $\implies K \cong L$

**Proof**(Case **Char**  $K = 0$ )

- Choose  $t_1, \dots, t_d, a, b$  such that  $q_{(t_1, \dots, t_d, a, b)}$  is universal but does not represent 0 over  $K(\sqrt{-1})$  where  $d = \mathbf{td}(K/\mathbb{Q})$
- Choose  $x \in K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(t_1, \dots, t_d, x)$
- Let  $P(T_1, \dots, T_d, X)$  be the defining polynomial relation over  $\mathbb{Q}$

- Consider the sentence  $\Psi$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x_1, \dots, x_d, x \text{ such that } P(x_1, \dots, x_d, x) = 0 \\ \text{and} \\ q_{(x_1, \dots, x_d, a, b)} \text{ is universal but does not represent } 0 \text{ over } K(\sqrt{-1}) \end{array} \right.$$

- $K \models \Psi \implies L \models \Psi$
- Thus  $\exists u_1, \dots, u_d \in L, y \in L$  such that  $P(u_1, \dots, u_d, y) = 0$  and  $q_{(u_1, \dots, u_d, a, b)}$  is universal but does not represent 0 over  $L(\sqrt{-1})$
- Since  $\mathbf{td}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = d$  (Why?) it follows  $u_1, \dots, u_d$  is a TB of  $L/\mathbb{Q}$
- Since  $P(T_1, \dots, T_d, x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q} \implies (t_1, \dots, t_d, x) \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_d, y)$  defines a field embedding  $K \hookrightarrow L$

Suppose  $K$  is of general type

“Introduction to general type”

- $\mathbf{td} = 1$

$g = 0$  ———

Not of general type

$g = 1$  ———

$g > 1$

- **Theorem**  $K$  of general type,  $\phi : K \xrightarrow[k]{\hookrightarrow} K$  embedding  $\implies \phi$  is a  $k$ -isomorphism

- $j : K \xrightarrow{i} L \xrightarrow{i'} K$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -embeddings
- Let  $k = K \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = k^{\text{abs}}$  be the constants
- Then  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite
- $j$  maps  $k$  into itself thus  $j|_k : k \longrightarrow k$  is an isomorphism (Why?)
- Therefore  $\exists n$  such that  $j^{\circ n} : K \longrightarrow K$  is a  $k$ -embedding
- Therefore  $j^{\circ n}$  is a  $k$ -isomorphism
- $j$  is a field isomorphism
- $i, i'$  are isomorphisms

## Questions/Comments

1. What about case  $\mathbf{td}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ ,  $K = \mathcal{K}(X)$  with  $g_X = 0$  or  $1$ ?
2. What about elementary equivalence and Galois descent?
  - $K, L$ , arithmetic function fields
  - $K \equiv L$
  - $k$  prime field
  - Let  $k'/k$  be (big enough) Galois extension such that  $K \cdot k' \cong L \cdot k'$  as a field
  - Show  $K \cong L$



**Case**  $K/k$  geometric function field

$k$  algebraically closed field

$K/k, L/k$  function fields with  $K \equiv L$

### **First Approximation**

- Choose  $(t_1, \dots, t_d)$  separable TB of  $K/k$
- Choose  $x \in K$  such that  $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_d, x)$  and  $P(T_1, \dots, T_d, X) \in K[T_1, \dots, T_d, X]$  irreducible defining relation of  $x$
- Let  $R = k[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  be sufficiently large of finite type over  $k$  over which “ $K/k$  is defined”  
 $(k_o = \mathbf{Quot}(R) \quad K_o = k_o(t_1, \dots, t_d, x)$  then  $K = K_o k \dots$

• Set  $R = k[z_1, \dots, z_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$

•  $\Psi: \exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \exists x_1, \dots, x_d, \exists x$  such that:

$$f_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \dots = f_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$$

**and**  $P \longleftrightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  **and**

**and**  $P(x_1, \dots, x_d, x) = 0$

**and**

$$\begin{cases} q_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}^p \text{ universal but does not represent } 0 \text{ if } k = \mathbb{F}_p \\ q_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)} \text{ universal but does not represent } 0 \text{ if } k = \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

- Interpret  $\Psi$  in  $L$ :

$\exists b_1, \dots, b_n, u_1, \dots, u_d, y \in L$  such that  $\dots$

- Unfortunately  $(u_1, \dots, u_b)$  is a separable TB of  $L/k$

Want a field embedding  $K \hookrightarrow L$  via  $\Psi$

- **Problem 1**  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  are possibly not in  $k$
- **Problem 2**
  - Suppose by a supplementary sentence  $\Psi_1$  one can impose  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are in  $k$
  - Then define

$$p : R \longrightarrow k$$
$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mapsto (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

- The let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{Ker}(p)$
- $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbf{Spec} R[x_1, \dots, x_m, x]/\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Spec} R$
- Then  $X_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathfrak{X} \times_R \mathcal{K}(p)$

- Finally we get a function field embedding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{K}(X_g) & \hookrightarrow & L \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_1} & k
 \end{array}$$

- Is  $p$  always injective?

- **Problem 1** YES  $k$  is definable in  $K$
- **Problem 2** ? ? ?
- **Question 1** What about definability of  $k$  in  $K$  if  $K$  is real or  $p$ -adically closed
- **Question 2** What about Problem 2?