Denef gave a proof of Macintyre's theorem using a cell decomposition for which he gave a direct geometric proof

Using cell decomposition we have:

# $\underline{\text{Theorem}}(\text{Denef})$

- $(A_{\lambda,l})_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m \\ l \in \mathbb{Z}^r}}$  definable family of bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^N$
- $\mu_n(A_{\lambda,l})$  is a finite Q-linear combination of functions:

$$\begin{cases} p^{-\alpha(\lambda,l)} \\ \beta(\lambda,l) \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are definable  $\mathbb{Z}$  valued functions

- Assume no  $\lambda$  i.e. m = 0
- Then  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are Presburger functions
- Deduce

# Corollary

 $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^r} I_l T_1^{l_1} \cdots T_r^{l_r}$  is rational where  $I_l = \mu_n (A_l)$ 

• Corollary

Q and P are rational

### Using *p*-adic Integration to Prove Results over $\mathbb C$

- Ax used counting over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to prove results over  $\mathbb{C}$
- For  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  integration replaces counting

- Take  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$
- Consider  $h: Y \longrightarrow X = \mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$
- Assume Y is smooth, h is proper and a birational isomorphism outside of  $h^{-1}(0)$
- Assume  $h^{-1}(f=0)$  is a divisor with normal crossings  $h^{-1}(f=0) = \sum_{i \in J} N_i E_i$  where  $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $E_i$  are smooth divisors, and  $E_i$ 's intersect transversally
- $\bullet~h$  exists by Hironaka

- $I \subseteq J$   $E_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$   $E_I^o := E_I \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} E_j$
- Then  $Y = \coprod_{I \subset J} E_i^o$   $E_{\emptyset}^o = Y \setminus h^{-1}(f = 0)$
- We write  $h^* \Omega_X^n = \Omega_Y^n \sum_{i \in J} (v_i 1) E_i$  with  $v_i = m_i + 1$
- In terms of local coordinates:

$$h^* dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n = u \prod y_i^{v_i - 1} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n$$

<u>Theorem</u>(Duenef-Loeser, '92)

$$Z_{\text{top},t}(s) = \sum_{I \subseteq J} \frac{\mathbf{Eu}(E_I^o)}{\prod_{i \in I} (N_i s + v_i)}$$

is independent of  $h: Y \longrightarrow X$ .

•  $\mathbf{Eu}(W)$  for a complex algebraic variety is given by:

$$\mathbf{Eu}(W) := \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i \mathbf{Rk} \left( \mathbf{H}_c^i \left( W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C} \right) \right)$$

•  $\mathbf{Eu}(W) = \mathbf{Eu}(W') + \mathbf{Eu}(W \setminus W')$  for W' closed in W

#### Sketch of Proof:

- Assume  $f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  for K a number field
- Consider  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal,  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the completion
- $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) = \int_{R^m \mathfrak{p}} |f|^s$
- Take  $h: Y \longrightarrow X$  defined over  $K, E_i$ 's also
- Theorem (Denef)

For all most all  ${\mathfrak p}$ 

$$Z_{\mathfrak{p}}(s) = q^{-n} \sum_{I \subseteq J} \# (E_I^o(k_{\mathfrak{p}})) \prod_{i \in I} \frac{(q-1)q^{-(N_i s + v_i)}}{1 - q^{-(N_i s + v_i)}}$$

where  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the residue field of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $q = |k_{\mathfrak{p}}|$ , and  $E_I^o(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$  makes sense for almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$ 

• Idea  $q \longmapsto 1$ 

- Take W a variety over K
- For almost all **p**:

$$\#(W(k_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr} \left( \operatorname{Frob}, \operatorname{H}_{c}^{i} \left( W, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \right) \right) \\
= \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^{i} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j} \right) \\
\alpha_{i,j} = \text{eigenevalues of Frob on } \operatorname{H}_{c}^{i} \left( W, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l} \right)$$

- $k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}$  finite degree e extension of  $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}$  unramified extensition of degree e of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$

•  $\forall e \geq 1$ 

$$Z_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}(s) = q^{-ne} \sum_{I \subseteq J} \# \left( E_I^o(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}) \right) \prod_{i \in I} \frac{(q^e - 1)q^{-e(N_i s + v_i)}}{1 - q^{-e(N_i s + v_i)}}$$

- For all most all  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\lim_{e\to 0} \# \left( W(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}) \right) = \mathbf{Eu}(W)$
- Taking the limit as  $e \to 0$  one gets the theorem
- **Remark:** Morally we did integration on  $W(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad q \to 1$

## Monodromy Conjecture (Igusa)

If  $s_o$  is a pole of  $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^m} |f|^s$  then  $\exp(2\pi i s_o)$  is an eigenvalue of the monodromy

- X over  $\mathbb C$ a Calabi-Yau variety
- X smooth proper of dimension n and  $\exists \omega \in \Omega^n_X(X)$  nowhere vanishing
- Mirror symmetry  $\implies$  two birationally equivalaent C-Y varieties have the same Hodge numbers

### <u>Theorem</u> (Batyrev, '95)

#### If X and X' are C-Y and birationally equivalent, then

$$b_i(X) = b_i(X') \quad \forall i \quad \left(b_i = \mathbf{Rk}(\mathbf{H}^i)\right)$$

### <u>Idea</u>

- Given  $(X, \omega), (X', \omega')$
- Assume X, X' defined over a number field K
- For almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and all  $e \geq 1$

$$\int_{X(K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)})} |w| = \int_{X'(K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)})} |w'|$$

- $\implies$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$  and for all  $e \ge 1$  that  $X(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)}) = X'(k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(e)})$
- $\implies b_i(X) = b_i(X') \ \forall i \text{ by Weil conjectures}$

**<u>Remark</u>** Kontsevich introduced motivic integration and applied it to show that birational C-Y varieties have the same Hodge numbers