## P-adic Modular Forms by Kevin Buzzard Lecture 2

• We know modular forms exist because over **C** there exists an explicit example (according to the classical definition), namely the Eisenstein series:  $E_k : \tau \mapsto \sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{not both } 0}} \frac{1}{(m\tau+n)^k}, k \geq 4$ . This is a level 1 modular form of weight k. A known fact is that  $E_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , where p is prime and  $p \geq 5$ . So in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[q]], E_{p-1}$  "looks" invertible becuase it has a power series where the constant term is a unit. However, no classical forms are of negative weight so  $1/E_{p-1}$  is not a classical form. In addition, for example,  $E_4(\pi i/3) = E_4(i) = 0$  so  $1/E_4$  is not a holomorphic function on the upper half plane.

• The following is the Deligne/Katz approach to defining a *p*-adic modular form.

We have E/R, where E is an elliptic curve over R, an  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra. Now let  $\omega \in H^0(E, \Omega^1_{E/R})$  and  $\eta \in H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$  be its dual. Consider the absolute Frobenius map,  $F_{abs} : \mathcal{O}_E \to \mathcal{O}_E$ ,  $f \mapsto f^p$ , an additive homomorphism of sheaves of abelian groups. Now define  $A(E/R, \omega) \in R$ , (which is actually the Hasse invariant) by setting  $F^*_{abs}(\eta) = A(E/R, \omega) \cdot \eta$  which gives us that  $A(E/R, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{1-p} A(E/R, \omega), \ \lambda \in R^{\times}$ .

So A is a modular form of weight p-1. Note that the boundedness condition for a modular form is satisfied by looking at  $A(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}})$  since the restriction of a plane curve over  $\mathbf{F}_p[[q]]$  is the Tate curve over  $\mathbf{F}_p((q))$ . Now  $A(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}}) = 1$  so if  $p \ge 5$ , then  $E_{p-1} \equiv A \pmod{p}$ . Therefore  $A = E_{p-1} \pmod{p}$  by the q-expansion principle, which says that two modular forms of level 1 and the same weight are equal if they have the same qexpansion.

We want a *p*-adic theory of modular forms that strongly identifies a modular form with its *q*-expansion so that what "looks" invertible, as in  $E_{p-1}$ (mod *p*), is invertible. So because the Hasse invariant  $A(E/R, \omega) = 0$  if and only if *E* is supersingular, we want to somehow throw away elliptic curves which are supersingular or have supersingular reduction. • Katz's definition of a *p*-adic modular form:

Let  $p \geq 5$ ,  $R_0$  be the ring of integers in a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , and R an  $R_0$ -algebra in which p is nilpotent.

A test object is

- 1. an elliptic curve E/R
- 2. a nowhere-vanishing differential  $\omega \in H^0(E, \Omega^1_{E/R})$
- 3. an element  $Y \in R$  such that  $Y \cdot E_{p-1}(E/R, \omega) = 1 \in R$ .

A *p*-adic modular form of level 1 of weight k defined over  $R_0$  is a rule f sending  $(E/R, \omega, Y)$  to an element of R such that

a)  $f(E/R, \lambda \omega, \lambda^{p-1}Y) = \lambda^{-k} f(E/R, \omega, Y)$ 

b)  $f(\text{Tate curve over } R_0/p^n R_0((q)), \omega_{\text{can}}, 1) \text{ is in } R_0/p^n R_0[[q]] \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$ and f depends only the isomorphism class of data and behaves well under pullback.

Note that classical modular forms over  $R_0$  are already *p*-adic modular forms.

• The class of *p*-adic modular forms is too large to work with, but we can create subtlety through the following definition:

Let  $R_0$  be the ring of integers in a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and choose  $\rho \in R_0 \setminus 0$ , and R an  $R_0$ -algebra in which p is nilpotent.

A  $\rho$ -overconvergent test object is

- 1. an elliptic curve E/R
- 2. a nowhere-vanishing differential  $\omega \in H^0(E, \Omega^1_{E/R})$
- 3. an element  $Y \in R$  such that  $Y \cdot E_{p-1}(E/R, \omega) = \rho$ .

A  $\rho$ -overconvergent modular form is a rule on these test objects satisfying the conditions (a) and (b) in the definition of a p-adic modular form.

Note that if  $|\rho| < 1$  then some of these test objects might have supersingular geometric fibers.

• We don't want to throw away too much so the idea is to define E, an elliptic curve, as having "very supersingular reduction" if  $A(E(\text{mod } p) / R/pR) = 0 \in R/pR$ . Now if R is the ring of integers in a highly ramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  then R/pR could be huge so maybe there are a lot of elliptic curve whose reductions are supersingular but not very supersingular.